Rogue Valley Sewer Services System Development Charge Methodology August 7, 2025



Prepared by

Carl Tappert, PE

District Manager

Introduction:

The imposition of System Development Charges (SDCs) is authorized by statute (ORS 223.297-314). This statute establishes requirements for the methodology used to calculate the SDC and allowable expenditures.

The general principal of SDCs is that it provides a mechanism for new users to contribute an equitable share of the value of the system designed to serve them.

The SDC is composed of two parts, the Reimbursement Fee and the Improvement Fee.

A reimbursement fee considers the cost of existing facilities, prior contributions by existing users, the value of available capacity, and generally accepted ratemaking principles. The objective is that future system users contribute no more than an equitable share to the cost of existing facilities.

An improvement fee is based on the cost of planned future facilities that expand the system's capacity to accommodate growth or increase its level of performance. Each project in the Capital Improvement Plan is evaluated to determine the portion of the project meant to serve existing users and the portion meant to serve future users.

Rogue Valley Sewer Services currently collects SDCs for the regional treatment plant, the regional interceptor, the White City and Eagle Point trunk lines, the collection system, the Shady Cove treatment plant, and the Gold Hill Treatment Plant.

The methodology for the regional treatment SDC was last modified by the Regional Sewer Rate Committee in July 2015 by Resolution 31. The methodology includes a provision to adjust SDC rates annually based on the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index. A review of the regional treatment SDC is not part of this report.

The current methodology for the SDCs for the regional interceptor, the White City and Eagle Point trunk lines, and the collection system was developed in 2021 and adopted by the RVSS Board of Directors under Resolution 21-07. This methodology does not include any inflationary index but does provide a framework for updating the SDCs annually.

The methodology for the Gold Hill treatment SDC was developed by the City of Gold Hill prior to annexation into RVSS. As part of the annexation agreement RVSS agreed to maintain SDCs unchanged for a period of 2 years following annexation. The annexation was approved in August 2023

The goal of this report is to simplify the SDC by combining the interceptor and collection system SDCs and by indexing SDCs and appropriate inflation index.

Part 1 - General Methodology

<u>Reimbursement Fee:</u> The Reimburse fee is a fee for costs associated with capital improvements already constructed, or under construction when the fee is established, for which the local government determines that capacity exists.¹ The reimbursement fee is designed so that new users will pay an equitable share of the cost of existing facilities.² The reimbursement fee is set using the "Buy-in" methodology.

Under this methodology the value of the system is calculated by adding together all of the capital funds spent by RVSS for the construction of the system. The value of donated assets or facilities constructed using grants are excluded. Outstanding debt used to finance capital improvements is also excluded.

The total number of existing users is determined by calculating the total equivalent residential units (ERU) that are served by the particular system. A single family home (SFR) is considered one ERU. All other uses are converted to ERU by comparing the billing rate for that particular use to the rate for a single family home.

Example: The SFR rate is \$30.00 per month. A commercial use whose monthly bill comes to \$75.00 would be considered 2.5 ERU.

$$\frac{$75.00}{$30.00} = 2.5 ERU$$

The calculation of the reimbursement fee is made by dividing the total value of the system by the total ERU currently within the system.

$$\frac{\textit{Total Value of System}}{\textit{Total ERU within system}} = \textit{Reimbursement fee}$$

<u>Improvement Fee:</u> The improvement fee is a fee for costs associated with capital improvements to be constructed³. The fee is calculated by dividing the current value of proposed improvements by the number of new users expected within the planning time frame.

The improvement fee is based on an approved capital improvement plan. Because most capital improvements are intended to serve both current and future customers, only the portion of the project that serves future customers is eligible for inclusion in the calculation. The capital improvement plan will include a calculation of the percentage of each project that is dedicated to future growth.

Example: A project will replace an old 8" sewer main with a new 12" sewer main. The portion of the project related to replacing the existing capacity of the 8" would not be eligible, however, the portion related to the increased capacity would.

$$\frac{Increased\ Capacity\ of\ Project}{Total\ Capacity\ of\ Project} = Surplus\ Capacity\ of\ Project$$

¹ ORS 223.297 (3)

² ORS 223.304 (1)(b)

³ ORS 223.297 (2)

The number of new users to the system is estimated based on historic growth trends. For this methodology future growth is calculated by taking the average growth in ERU over the past 10 years. This data comes from RVSS billing records and is 0.8%. This is slightly higher than the Portland State University Population Research Center⁴ growth rate for incorporated areas in Jackson County over the same time period.

The time frame for projected growth is 10 years.

The total Improvement fee is calculated by dividing the total value of the surplus capacity of projects identified in the capital improvement plan by the total number of projected new ERU in the next 10 years.

$$\frac{\textit{Value of Surplus Capacity of CIP}}{\textit{New ERU in next } 10 \textit{ years}} = \textit{Improvement Fee}$$

The sum of the Reimbursement Fee and Improvement Fee calculations is the <u>maximum</u> SDC that can be assessed against a new connection.

_

⁴ https://www.pdx.edu/population-research/population-estimate-reports

Part 2 - Specific SDC Methodologies

This section defines each System Development Charge that is imposed by RVSS and the specific data that is used to calculate the SDC. For each SDC the method used to update the calculations is described.

2.1 Collection System Development Charge

The Collection System is entire system of pipes, pump stations, and related facilities within RVSS jurisdiction. It includes the Regional Interceptor, the White City Trunk, the Eagle Point Trunk.

- 1. User Basis This fee applies to all users connected to the RVSS collection system, including Shady Cove and Gold HIII.
 - a. RVSS Core The total equivalent residential units in the core RVSS system is calculated by dividing the 12 month average contribution to the Regional treatment plant by the single family residential treatment rate.
 - b. Shady Cove the total equivalent residential units for Shady Cove is calculated by dividing the 12 month average rate revenue by the monthly single family residential rate.
 - c. Gold Hill the total equivalent residential units for Shady Cove is calculated by dividing the 12 month average rate revenue by the monthly single family residential rate.
 - d. Future Connections Projected new users are calculated by multiplying the current number of ERU by the average ERU growth rate of 0.80% over the 10 year projection period.

2. Reimbursement Fee

- a. Included costs
 - i. LID costs the portion of LID expenses that is contributed by RVSS.
 - ii. Private Project costs RVSS contributions to the construction of private projects. This is typically used to cover costs of constructing sewer beyond the needs of the specific project.
 - iii. Rehabilitation costs cost of projects funded entirely by RVSS
 - iv. Shady Cove pre-annexation costs These costs are reported by Shady Cove for capital projects prior to annexation into RVSS.
 - v. Gold Hill pre-annexation costs These costs are reported by Gold Hill for capital projects prior to annexation into RVSS

b. Excluded costs -

- i. Outstanding debt principal used to finance capital projects.
- ii. Grant funded portion of projects.
- iii. Donated assets infrastructure constructed by private developers and donated to the public.
- iv. Routine maintenance costs.

c. Reimbursement Fee Calculation

i. The reimbursement fee for a single family home is calculated by dividing the total of all included costs by the total equivalent residential units.

ii. The reimbursement fee for all other uses is calculated by multiplying the SFR rate by the ERU equivalent for each use.

3. Improvement Fee

- a. Included Costs costs are based on the Capital Improvement plan adopted by resolution of the Board. The CIP will include both an estimated construction cost and an estimated value of the surplus capacity for each project. The total value of surplus capacity for all projects is the basis for the improvement fee.
- b. Improvement fee Calculation
 - i. The improvement fee for a single family home is calculated by dividing the total value of surplus capacity in the capital improvement plan by the total number of new users expected over the next 10 years.
 - ii. The improvement fee for all other uses in the RVSS Core area is calculated by multiplying the SFR rate by the ERU equivalent for each use. The ERU equivalent is established by the Regional Rate Committee.

4. Annual update

a. Once established, both the Reimbursement fee and the Improvement fee will be adjusted on July 1 of each year based on the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index for the 12 months ending January 1 of the same year.

2.2 Shady Cove Treatment System Development Charge

- 1. System The Shady Cove Wastewater Treatment plant located at 4660 Rogue River Drive in Shady Cove.
- 2. User Basis This fee applies to all users in Shady Cove.
 - a. Shady Cove –The total equivalent residential units in Shady Cove is calculated by dividing the 12 month average user fee collection by the single family residential rate.
 - b. Future Connections Projected new users are calculated by multiplying the current number of ERU by the average ERU growth rate of 0.80% over the 10 year projection period.

3. Reimbursement Fee

- a. Included costs -
 - Pre-Annexation costs capital costs incurred by the City of Shady Cove prior to annexation into RVSS are included. These costs are reported on Shady Cove's financial statements.
 - ii. Rehabilitation costs cost of capital projects funded entirely by RVSS
 - iii. Capital expansion costs cost of capital projects funded entirely by RVSS.
- b. Excluded costs
 - i. Outstanding debt principal used to finance capital projects.
 - ii. Grant funded projects.
 - iii. Routine maintenance costs.
- c. Reimbursement Fee Calculation
 - i. The reimbursement fee for a single family home is calculated by dividing the total of all included costs by the total equivalent residential units.
 - ii. The reimbursement fee for all other uses is calculated by multiplying the SFR rate by the ERU equivalent for each use.

4. Improvement Fee

- a. Included Costs costs are based on the Capital Improvement plan adopted by resolution of the Board. The CIP will include both an estimated construction cost and an estimated value of the surplus capacity for each project. The total value of surplus capacity for all projects is the basis for the improvement fee.
- b. Improvement fee Calculation
 - The improvement fee for a single family home is calculated by dividing the total value of surplus capacity in the capital improvement plan by the total number of new users expected over the next 10 years.
 - ii. The improvement fee for all other uses is calculated by multiplying the SFR rate by the ERU equivalent for each use.

iii.

5. Annual update

 Once established, both the Reimbursement fee and the Improvement fee will be adjusted on July 1 of each year based on the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index for the 12 months ending January 1 of the same year.

2.3 Gold Hill Cove Treatment System Development Charge

- 1. System The Gold Hill Wastewater Treatment plant located at 2177 Second Avenue in Gold Hill
- 2. User Basis This fee applies to all users in Gold Hill.
 - a. Gold HIII –The total equivalent residential units in Gold HIII is calculated by dividing the 12 month average user fee collection by the single family residential rate.
 - b. Future Connections Projected new users are calculated by multiplying the current number of ERU by the average ERU growth rate of 0.80% over the 10 year projection period.

3. Reimbursement Fee

- a. Included costs
 - i. Pre-Annexation costs capital costs incurred by the City of Gold Hill prior to annexation into RVSS are included.
 - ii. Rehabilitation costs cost of capital projects funded entirely by RVSS
 - iii. Capital expansion costs cost of capital projects funded entirely by RVSS.

b. Excluded costs -

- i. Outstanding debt principal used to finance capital projects.
- ii. Grant funded projects.
- iii. Routine maintenance costs.

c. Reimbursement Fee Calculation

- i. The reimbursement fee for a single family home is calculated by dividing the total of all included costs by the total equivalent residential units.
- ii. The reimbursement fee for all other uses is calculated by multiplying the SFR rate by the ERU equivalent for each use.

4. Improvement Fee

a. Included Costs - costs are based on the Capital Improvement plan adopted by resolution of the Board. The CIP will include both an estimated construction cost and an estimated value of the surplus capacity for each project. The total value of surplus capacity for all projects is the basis for the improvement fee. Note: The Gold Hill Intertie Project is considered the solution for deficiencies in the Gold Hill Treatment plant and is considered part of the Gold Hill Treatment SDC.

b. Improvement fee Calculation

- i. The improvement fee for a single family home is calculated by dividing the total value of surplus capacity in the capital improvement plan by the total number of new users expected over the next 10 years.
- ii. The improvement fee for all other uses is calculated by multiplying the SFR rate by the ERU equivalent for each use.

5. Annual update

- a. Once established, both the Reimbursement fee and the Improvement fee will be adjusted on July 1 of each year based on the Engineering News Record Construction Cost Index for the 12 months ending January 1 of the same year.
- b. The Gold Hill Treatment SDC will be eliminated when the treatment plant is abandoned and the City connected to the Regional System. Once this happens, new users in Gold Hill will pay the Regional Treatment SDC instead.

Part 3 – Implementation Requirements

Once the methodology is adopted the intent is to adjust the SDC rate every year based on the ENR Construction Cost Index. The calculated SDC represents the maximum charge that can be justified. The RVSS Board of Directors has the discretion to charge any amount up to the maximum. This will be done by resolution of the Board.

RVSS must comply with certain legal requirements to ensure that the SDCs are properly calculated and assessed, and that the funds received are properly spent.

3.1 Public Notice: RVSS must hold a public hearing to initially establish the SDC methodology. Individuals who have notified RVSS that they want to be informed of any changes in SDC methodology must be notified 90 days before the hearing.⁵

The capital improvement plan used for the basis of the SDC may be updated at any time. If a capital improvement plan update is used to justify a higher SDC RVSS must notify interested individuals at least 30 days in advance.⁶ No public hearing is required unless specifically requested.

- **3.2 Credits:** The SDC resolution must include a provision to provide credit to developers who construct qualified capital improvements. In order to qualify for credit the project must meet the following conditions:
 - 1. Project must be required as a condition of approval for the development
 - 2. Project must be included in the Capital Improvement Plan
 - 3. Project must be either a) not located on or contiguous to the subject property or b) required to build larger or with greater capacity than is necessary for the particular development.⁷

The provision of credit is already included in RVSS Code Section 2.20.100.

3.3 Authorized Expenditures: SDC revenue may only be spent on capital improvements associated with the systems for which the fees are assessed and which are included in the capital improvement plan. The improvement portion of the SDC is further restricted in that it can only be used for projects which increase capacity.⁸

⁶ ORS 223.309 (2)

⁵ ORS 223.304 (7)

⁷ ORS 223.304 (4)

⁸ ORS 223.307

RVSS must provide an annual accounting of the amount of SDC revenue collected and spent on specific projects.⁹

Part 4 - Recommended SDCs

The table below shows the current and recommended rates for the various SDCs applicable to RVSS customers.

Fee	Current Rate	Justified Rate	Recommended Rate
Collection System	\$1,000 per ERU	\$2,185.50	\$2,100
Development Charge			
Interceptor	\$500 per ERU	n/a	n/a
Development Charge			
Eagle Point Trunk	\$650 per ERU	n/a	n/a
Development Charge			
White City Trunk	\$200 per ERU	n/a	n/a
Development Charge			
Shady Cove Treatment	\$1,929.04 per ERU	\$6,340.81	\$1,959.90
SDC			
Gold Hill Treatment SDC	\$703 per ERU	\$23,154.33	\$1,959.90

Under the proposed changes the Collection, Interceptor, Eagle Point Trunk, and White City Trunk SDCS will be combined into a single Collection System SDC. All users in the system will pay the same rate.

Under the previous methodology Shady Cove always had a justified treatment SDC much higher than the Regional Treatment SDC charged to new users in the core area. The Board has traditionally set this rate to match the Regional Treatment SDC but there is no requirement to do so.

The Gold Hill SDC is absurdly high due to the high cost of the planned regional intertied coupled with he small population of Gold Hill. Since this SDC will only be in effect for a short time and will be eliminated once the Regional Intertie is complete the recommendation is to set this rate equal to the Regional Treatment SDC.

The SDCs should be adjusted annually based on the Engineering News Record Construction cost index.

_

⁹ ORS 223.311 (1)

APPENDIX A

SAMPLE SDC CALCULATIONS

\$ 1,959.90

		Rei	mbursement	Improvement	Total
Collection System:					
•	Original Cost of Improvements	\$	58,463,682		
	Less:Grant Money	\$	(1,616,542)		
	Less: Outstanding Debt	\$	(1,081,527)		
	Total Current ERU's		42,552		
	Reimbursement Fee per ERU	\$	1,310.53		
	,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Projected Present Value Cost of Improvements			\$ 19,250,807	
	Present Value of Surplus Capacity			\$ 3,409,202	
	New ERU's over 10 years			3,896	
	Improvement Fee per ERU			\$ 874.97	
	improvement too per 2.10			Ψ 0/ 1.0/	
	Total Justified SDC per ERU				\$ 2,185.5
	Current SDC per ERU				\$ 1,000.0
	Recommended SDC per ERU	1			\$ 2,100.0
	recommended obe per Erro				Ψ 2,100.0
Shady Caya Treatment		1			
Shady Cove Treatment	Original Cost of Improvements	\$	3,705,000		
		_			
	Less:Grant Money	\$	(4.245.000)		
	Less: Outstanding Debt	\$	(1,315,000)		
	Total Current ERU's	_	1,561		
	Reimbursement Fee per ERU	\$	1,531.06		
	Projected Present Value Cost of Improvements	 		\$ 3,705,000	
	Present Value of Surplus Capacity			\$ 687,500	
	New ERU's over 10 years			142.94	
	Improvement Fee per ERU			\$ 4,809.75	
	Total Justified SDC per ERU				\$ 6,340.8
	Current SDC per ERU	1			\$ 1,929.0
	Recommended SDC per ERU	1			\$ 1,959.9
		1		<u>l</u>	Ψ .,σσσ.σ
Gold Hill Treatment					
Sold Tilli Treatment	Original Cost of Improvements	\$	99,601		
	Less:Grant Money	\$	-		
	Less: Outstanding Debt	Ψ			
	•	1	E00		
	Total Current ERU's	r.	580		
	Reimbursement Fee per ERU	\$	171.64		
	Projected Present Value Cost of Improvements			\$ 11,059,100	
	Present Value of Surplus Capacity			\$ 1,221,172	
	New ERU's over 10 years			53.13	
	Improvement Fee per ERU			\$ 22,982.69	
	Total Justified SDC per ERU	1			\$ 23,154.3
	Current SDC per ERU Recommended SDC per ERU				\$ 1,929.0 \$ 1,959.9
	I POCOMMONGOG SINC NOT EULI			Ē	. v. 7 ()(()

Recommended SDC per ERU

APPENDIX B

Oregon Revised Statute 223.297 to 223.316

ORS 223.297 **Policy**

The purpose of ORS 223.297 (Policy) to 223.316 (Local governments required to make system development charge information public) is to provide a uniform framework for the imposition of system development charges by local governments, to provide equitable funding for orderly growth and development in Oregon's communities and to establish that the charges may be used only for capital improvements. [1989 c.449 §1; 1991 c.902 §25; 2003 c.765 §1; 2003 c.802 §17]

 \mathscr{S}

Note: 223.297 (Policy) to 223.316 (Local governments required to make system development charge information public) were added to and made a part of 223.205 (Scope and application) to 223.295 (Limit on city indebtedness) by legislative action, but were not added to and made a part of the Bancroft Bonding Act. See section 10, chapter 449, Oregon Laws 1989.

Location: https://oregon.public.law/statutes/ors_223.297

Original Source: Section 223.297 — Policy, https://www.oregonlegislature.gov/bills_laws/ors/ors223.html (last accessed May 10, 2025).

ORS 223.299 Definitions for ORS 223.297 to 223.316

As used in ORS 223.297 (Policy) to 223.316 (Local governments required to make system development charge information public):

- (1) Intentionally left blank —Ed.
- (a) "Capital improvement" means facilities or assets used for the following:
- (A) Water supply, treatment and distribution; \mathscr{S}
- **(B)** Waste water collection, transmission, treatment and disposal;
- (C) Drainage and flood control;
- (D) Transportation; or
- **(E)** Parks and recreation.
- **(b)** "Capital improvement" does not include costs of the operation or routine maintenance of capital improvements.
- (2) "Improvement fee" means a fee for costs associated with capital improvements to be constructed.
- (3) "Reimbursement fee" means a fee for costs associated with capital improvements already constructed, or under construction when the fee is established, for which the local government determines that capacity exists.
- (4) Intentionally left blank —Ed.
- (a) "System development charge" means a reimbursement fee, an improvement fee or a combination thereof assessed or collected at the time of increased usage of a capital improvement or issuance of a development permit, building permit or connection to the capital improvement. "System development charge" includes that portion of a sewer or water system connection charge that is greater than the amount necessary to reimburse the local government for its average cost of inspecting and installing connections with water and sewer facilities.

(b) "System development charge" does not include any fees assessed or collected as part of a local improvement district or a charge in lieu of a local improvement district assessment, or the cost of complying with requirements or conditions imposed upon a land use decision, expedited land division or limited land use decision. [1989 c.449 §2; 1991 c.817 §29; 1991 c.902 §26; 1995 c.595 §28; 2003 c.765 §2a; 2003 c.802 §18]

Note: See note under 223.297 (Policy).

Location: https://oregon.public.law/statutes/ors_223.299

Original Source: Section 223.299 — Definitions for ORS 223.297 to 223.316, https://www.oregonlegislature.-gov/bills_laws/ors/ors223.html (last accessed May 10, 2025).

ORS 223.301 Certain system development charges and methodologies prohibited

- (1) As used in this section, "employer" means any person who contracts to pay remuneration for, and secures the right to direct and control the services of, any person.
- (2) A local government may not establish or impose a system development charge that requires an employer to pay a reimbursement fee or an improvement fee based on: §
- (a) The number of individuals hired by the employer after a specified date; or
- **(b)** A methodology that assumes that costs are necessarily incurred for capital improvements when an employer hires an additional employee.
- (3) A methodology set forth in an ordinance or resolution that establishes an improvement fee or a reimbursement fee shall not include or incorporate any method or system under which the payment of the fee or the amount of the fee is determined by the number of employees of an employer without regard to new construction, new development or new use of an existing structure by the employer.
- (4) A local government may not impose a system development charge for increased use of a transportation facility that results from the production of marijuana on a property located in an exclusive farm use zone. [1999 c.1098 §2; 2003 c.802 §19; 2019 c.292 §1]

Note: See note under 223.297 (Policy).

Location: https://oregon.public.law/statutes/ors_223.301

ORS 223.302 System development charges

- use of revenues
- · review procedures
- the revenues produced therefrom must be expended only in accordance with ORS 223.297 (Policy) to 223.316 (Local governments required to make system development charge information public). If a local government expends revenues from system development charges in violation of the limitations described in ORS 223.307 (Authorized expenditure of system development charges), the local government shall replace the misspent amount with moneys derived from sources other than system development charges. Replacement moneys must be deposited in a fund designated for the system development charge revenues not later than one year following a determination that the funds were misspent. §
- (2) Local governments shall adopt administrative review procedures by which any citizen or other interested person may challenge an expenditure of system development charge revenues. Such procedures shall provide that such a challenge must be filed within two years of the expenditure of the system development charge revenues. The decision of the local government shall be judicially reviewed only as provided in ORS 34.010 (Former writ of certiorari as writ of review) to 34.100 (Power of court on review).
- (3) Intentionally left blank —Ed.
- (a) A local government must advise a person who makes a written objection to the calculation of a system development charge of the right to petition for review pursuant to ORS 34.010 (Former writ of certiorari as writ of review) to 34.100 (Power of court on review).
- (b) If a local government has adopted an administrative review procedure for objections to the calculation of a system development charge, the local government shall provide adequate notice regarding the procedure for review to a person who makes a written objection to the calculation of a system development charge. [1989 c.449 §3; 1991 c.902 §27; 2001 c.662 §2; 2003 c.765 §3; 2003 c.802 §20]

ORS 223.304 Determination of amount of system development charges

- methodology
- · credit allowed against charge
- limitation of action contesting methodology for imposing charge
- · notification request
- (1) Intentionally left blank —Ed.
- (a) Reimbursement fees must be established or modified by ordinance or resolution setting forth a methodology that is, when applicable, based on:
- (A) Ratemaking principles employed to finance publicly owned capital improvements;
- **(B)** Prior contributions by existing users;
- **(C)** Gifts or grants from federal or state government or private persons;
- (D) The value of unused capacity available to future system users or the cost of the existing facilities; and
- **(E)** Other relevant factors identified by the local government imposing the fee.
- **(b)** The methodology for establishing or modifying a reimbursement fee must:
- (A) Promote the objective of future system users contributing no more than an equitable share to the cost of existing facilities.
- **(B)** Be available for public inspection.
- (2) Improvement fees must:
- (a) Be established or modified by ordinance or resolution setting forth a methodology that is available for public inspection and demonstrates consideration of:
- (A) The projected cost of the capital improvements identified in the plan and list adopted pursuant to ORS 223.309 (Preparation of plan for capital improvements

- ORS 223.304 Determination of amount of system development charges; methodology; credit allowed against charge; limitation of ... financed by system development charges) that are needed to increase the capacity of the systems to which the fee is related; **and**
- **(B)** The need for increased capacity in the system to which the fee is related that will be required to serve the demands placed on the system by future users.
- **(b)** Be calculated to obtain the cost of capital improvements for the projected need for available system capacity for future users.
- (3) A local government may establish and impose a system development charge that is a combination of a reimbursement fee and an improvement fee, if the methodology demonstrates that the charge is not based on providing the same system capacity.
- (4) The ordinance or resolution that establishes or modifies an improvement fee shall also provide for a credit against such fee for the construction of a qualified public improvement. A "qualified public improvement" means a capital improvement that is required as a condition of development approval, identified in the plan and list adopted pursuant to ORS 223.309 (Preparation of plan for capital improvements financed by system development charges) and either:
- (a) Not located on or contiguous to property that is the subject of development approval; **or**
- (b) Located in whole or in part on or contiguous to property that is the subject of development approval and required to be built larger or with greater capacity than is necessary for the particular development project to which the improvement fee is related.
- (5) Intentionally left blank —Ed.
- (a) The credit provided for in subsection (4) of this section is only for the improvement fee charged for the type of improvement being constructed, and credit for qualified public improvements under subsection (4)(b) of this section may be granted only for the cost of that portion of such improvement that exceeds the local government's minimum standard facility size or capacity needed to serve the particular development project or property. The applicant shall have the burden of demonstrating that a particular improvement qualifies for credit under subsection (4)(b) of this section.
- **(b)** A local government may deny the credit provided for in subsection (4) of this section if the local government demonstrates:
- (A) That the application does not meet the requirements of subsection (4) of this section; **or**
- (B) By reference to the list adopted pursuant to ORS 223.309 (Preparation of plan for capital improvements financed by system development charges), that the improvement for which credit is sought was not included in the plan and list

- ORS 223.304 Determination of amount of system development charges; methodology; credit allowed against charge; limitation of ... adopted pursuant to ORS 223.309 (Preparation of plan for capital improvements financed by system development charges).
- (c) When the construction of a qualified public improvement gives rise to a credit amount greater than the improvement fee that would otherwise be levied against the project receiving development approval, the excess credit may be applied against improvement fees that accrue in subsequent phases of the original development project. This subsection does not prohibit a local government from providing a greater credit, or from establishing a system providing for the transferability of credits, or from providing a credit for a capital improvement not identified in the plan and list adopted pursuant to ORS 223.309 (Preparation of plan for capital improvements financed by system development charges), or from providing a share of the cost of such improvement by other means, if a local government so chooses.
- (d) Credits must be used in the time specified in the ordinance but not later than 10 years from the date the credit is given.
- (6) Any local government that proposes to establish or modify a system development charge shall maintain a list of persons who have made a written request for notification prior to adoption or amendment of a methodology for any system development charge.
- (7) Intentionally left blank —Ed.
- (a) Written notice must be mailed to persons on the list at least 90 days prior to the first hearing to establish or modify a system development charge, and the methodology supporting the system development charge must be available at least 60 days prior to the first hearing. The failure of a person on the list to receive a notice that was mailed does not invalidate the action of the local government. The local government may periodically delete names from the list, but at least 30 days prior to removing a name from the list shall notify the person whose name is to be deleted that a new written request for notification is required if the person wishes to remain on the notification list.
- (b) Legal action intended to contest the methodology used for calculating a system development charge may not be filed after 60 days following adoption or modification of the system development charge ordinance or resolution by the local government. A person shall request judicial review of the methodology used for calculating a system development charge only as provided in ORS 34.010 (Former writ of certiorari as writ of review) to 34.100 (Power of court on review).
- (8) A change in the amount of a reimbursement fee or an improvement fee is not a modification of the system development charge methodology if the change in amount is based on:
- (a) A change in the cost of materials, labor or real property applied to projects or project capacity as set forth on the list adopted pursuant to ORS 223.309

ORS 223.304 – Determination of amount of system development charges; methodology; credit allowed against charge; limitation of ... (Preparation of plan for capital improvements financed by system development charges); **or**

- **(b)** The periodic application of one or more specific cost indexes or other periodic data sources. A specific cost index or periodic data source must be:
- (A) A relevant measurement of the average change in prices or costs over an identified time period for materials, labor, real property or a combination of the three;
- (B) Published by a recognized organization or agency that produces the index or data source for reasons that are independent of the system development charge methodology; and
- (C) Incorporated as part of the established methodology or identified and adopted in a separate ordinance, resolution or order. [1989 c.449 §4; 1991 c.902 §28; 1993 c.804 §20; 2001 c.662 §3; 2003 c.765 §§4a,5a; 2003 c.802 §21]

Note: See note under 223.297 (Policy).

Location: https://oregon.public.law/statutes/ors_223.304

Original Source: Section 223.304 — Determination of amount of system development charges; methodology; credit allowed against charge; limitation of action contesting methodology for imposing charge; notification request, https://www.-oregonlegislature.gov/bills_laws/ors/ors223.html (last accessed May 10, 2025).

ORS 223.307 Authorized expenditure of system development charges

- (1) Reimbursement fees may be spent only on capital improvements associated with the systems for which the fees are assessed including expenditures relating to repayment of indebtedness. \mathscr{O}
- (2) Improvement fees may be spent only on capacity increasing capital improvements, including expenditures relating to repayment of debt for such improvements. An increase in system capacity may be established if a capital improvement increases the level of performance or service provided by existing facilities or provides new facilities. The portion of the improvements funded by improvement fees must be related to the need for increased capacity to provide service for future users.
- (3) System development charges may not be expended for costs associated with the construction of administrative office facilities that are more than an incidental part of other capital improvements or for the expenses of the operation or maintenance of the facilities constructed with system development charge revenues.
- (4) Any capital improvement being funded wholly or in part with system development charge revenues must be included in the plan and list adopted by a local government pursuant to ORS 223.309 (Preparation of plan for capital improvements financed by system development charges).
- (5) Notwithstanding subsections (1) and (2) of this section, system development charge revenues may be expended on the costs of complying with the provisions of ORS 223.297 (Policy) to 223.316 (Local governments required to make system development charge information public), including the costs of developing system development charge methodologies and providing an annual accounting of system development charge expenditures. [1989 c.449 §5; 1991 c.902 §29; 2003 c.765 §6; 2003 c.802 §22]

Note: See note under 223.297 (Policy).

ORS 223.309 Preparation of plan for capital improvements financed by system development charges

- modification
- (1) Prior to the establishment of a system development charge by ordinance or resolution, a local government shall prepare a capital improvement plan, public facilities plan, master plan or comparable plan that includes a list of the capital improvements that the local government intends to fund, in whole or in part, with revenues from an improvement fee and the estimated cost, timing and percentage of costs eligible to be funded with revenues from the improvement fee for each improvement.
- (2) A local government that has prepared a plan and the list described in subsection (1) of this section may modify the plan and list at any time. If a system development charge will be increased by a proposed modification of the list to include a capacity increasing capital improvement, as described in ORS 223.307 (Authorized expenditure of system development charges) (2):
- (a) The local government shall provide, at least 30 days prior to the adoption of the modification, notice of the proposed modification to the persons who have requested written notice under ORS 223.304 (Determination of amount of system development charges) (6).
- (b) The local government shall hold a public hearing if the local government receives a written request for a hearing on the proposed modification within seven days of the date the proposed modification is scheduled for adoption.
- (c) Notwithstanding ORS 294.160 (Opportunity for public comment on new fee or fee increase), a public hearing is not required if the local government does not receive a written request for a hearing.
- (d) The decision of a local government to increase the system development charge by modifying the list may be judicially reviewed only as provided in ORS 34.010 (Former writ of certiorari as writ of review) to 34.100 (Power of court on review).

ORS 223.311 Deposit of system development charge revenues

•	annual accounting

- (1) System development charge revenues must be deposited in accounts designated for such moneys. The local government shall provide an annual accounting, to be completed by January 1 of each year, for system development charges showing the total amount of system development charge revenues collected for each system and the projects that were funded in the previous fiscal year. &
- (2) The local government shall include in the annual accounting:
- (a) A list of the amount spent on each project funded, in whole or in part, with system development charge revenues; and
- (b) The amount of revenue collected by the local government from system development charges and attributed to the costs of complying with the provisions of ORS 223.297 (Policy) to 223.316 (Local governments required to make system development charge information public), as described in ORS 223.307 (Authorized expenditure of system development charges). [1989 c.449 §7; 1991 c.902 §31; 2001 c.662 §5; 2003 c.765 §8a; 2003 c.802 §24]

Note: See note under 223.297 (Policy).

Location: https://oregon.public.law/statutes/ors_223.311

Original Source: Section 223.311 — Deposit of system development charge revenues; annual accounting,

ORS 223.313 **Applicability of ORS** 223.297 to 223.316

- (1) ORS 223.297 (Policy) to 223.316 (Local governments required to make system development charge information public) shall apply only to system development charges in effect on or after July 1, 1991.
- (2) The provisions of ORS 223.297 (Policy) to 223.316 (Local governments required to make system development charge information public) shall not be applicable if they are construed to impair bond obligations for which system development charges have been pledged or to impair the ability of local governments to issue new bonds or other financing as provided by law for improvements allowed under ORS 223.297 (Policy) to 223.316 (Local governments required to make system development charge information public). [1989 c.449 §8; 1991 c.902 §32; 2003 c.802 §25] &

Note: See note under 223.297 (Policy).

Location: https://oregon.public.law/statutes/ors_223.313

Original Source: Section 223.313 — Applicability of ORS 223.297 to 223.316, https://www.oregonlegislature.gov/bills_laws/ors/ors223.html (last accessed May 10, 2025).

ORS 223.314 Establishment or modification of system development charge not a land use decision

The establishment, modification or implementation of a system development charge, or a plan or list adopted pursuant to ORS 223.309 (Preparation of plan for capital improvements financed by system development charges), or any modification of a plan or list, is not a land use decision pursuant to ORS chapters 195, 197 and 197A. [1989 c.449 §9; 2001 c.662 §6; 2003 c.765 §9]

Note: See note under 223.297 (Policy).

Location: https://oregon.public.law/statutes/ors_223.314

Original Source: Section 223.314 — Establishment or modification of system development charge not a land use

decision, https://www.oregonlegislature.-

gov/bills_laws/ors/ors223.html (last accessed May 10, 2025).

ORS 223.316 Local governments required to make system development charge information public

- (1) Any city, county or special district that maintains a public website shall include the following information on its website in a manner that is readily accessible to the general public, for system development charges that it assesses: &
- (a) The current system development charge fee rates for each type of development;
- (b) Details of the methodology used to determine the fee rates set forth pursuant to paragraph (a) of this subsection;
- (c) A list of capital improvement projects that will receive funding from system development charge fee revenue; and
- (d) Contact information for a local official responsible for answering questions about system development charges.
- (2) Any city, county or special district that does not maintain a website shall make the information described in subsection (1) of this section available to the general public free of charge upon request. [2021 c.544 §4]

Note: See note under 223.297 (Policy).

Location: https://oregon.public.law/statutes/ors_223.316

Original Source: Section 223.316 — Local governments required to make system development charge information

APPENDIX C

Current Capital Improvement Plan

ROGUE VALLEY SEWER SERVICES JACKSON COUNTY, OREGON

RESOLUTION NO. 25-11

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLANS FOR ALL AREAS

WHEREAS Rogue Valley Sewer Services is serious about improving and upgrading our sewer system infrastructure in order to provide quality service and to protect the health of our citizens:

WHEREAS Master Plans have been adopted for most areas, and such plans are updated on an annual basis;

WHEREAS System Development Charges are used to pay for much of the capital work as allowed by state law, and such law requires that the Board approve a Capital Improvement Plan in order to plan for and justify, System Development Charges Collections and Expenditures;

NOW, BE IT RESOLVED that the attached list, Attachment 'A', dated 06/4/2025, be adopted.

ADOPTED by the Board of Directors of Rogue Valley Sewer Services at its Regular Meeting held June 18th, 2025.

ROGUE VALLEY SEWER SERVICES

Kay Harrison, Chair Board of Directors

COUNTERSIGNED:

Carl Tappert, Manager

Attachment 'A' Page 1 of 3 6/4/2025

Rogue Valley Sewer Services Five Year Capital Improvement Plan Summary

Collection System - Fund 1

`			20010010000000000000000000000000000000	VACCES OF V		S	Construction Year	470047	Actual	Prejected	Proposed	10000						
et se	Project Name	2	Estimated Cost	Actual cost	" Added Capacit	Julius .	* 19990	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028		2029	2030	2031	2032
1124	West Cenwedd Po Henab	<			46,013	20%	S COCOC	44,047			-							
		2 2				150%	2073	FAS 502								, ,	,	
C	COOT ORGON EVERS IN Blackwall	3		,		360	2 1,505	6.478							,			
Ī		Xd		, ,		15%	2023 \$	63 893										
1348				5		260	2023 \$	332 490	\$ 10.422 \$,				3	5		5	
	FY 2023 Mise Grinder Pumps	ŀ	-		97.205	15%	2023 \$	97,205			5				.,		5	
		ŀ	3	2		*60	2023 \$	3.167	5		3				5	5		
		2		5		15%	2024 \$	268 634		100					2			ı
J350 F	P Jobs			\$		15%	2024 \$	321,332	2						3			
J354 A	Ashland P5 #2 Force Main	¥		2		%00	2024 \$		\$ 465,802 \$					3	5	57	•	٠
	SC PS#2 Rehab		3	S		100 N	2024 \$	64.167	\$ 305.677		\$	(4)	- 3	3	\$			
0 6000	Colman	TA	\$ 120,192	2	**	%0	2025 \$	11,710	\$ (62,038) \$	5,000	\$	*		•	2			
		WC	\$ 6.827,699	*		%9Z	2025 \$	100.607	\$ 64,462 \$	6,000,000	1				8	\$		1
9	FY 2024 CIPP Proj		8	2	437,895	15%	2025 \$	0	\$ 437,805 \$		\$	S					8	
Z	NAPA Sever WC		\$ 96,154	5		15%	2025 \$		5	100,000	\$		5	5	s		5	
i ii	EP PS ARV Replacement	d3	\$ 24,038	\$		%0	2026 \$	Ť	4	25,000	\$,		\$	3		5	
	Table Rock Road Emergency Repair	9	\$ 216.34		,	15%	2025 \$	0.50	8	225,000	5				5	2	9	
10	Chittan Avenue Sever	×	\$ 95,000	5		15%	\$ 9202		5 5.695 3		\$ 85,000 \$,	5			
0	SC System Sulvey	T	30,000	-		%0	2026 \$	1,650		9	30,000 5				*	5		
T	Februar PS Rehab	d d				25%	2026 \$				\$ 000 05E \$	-	1	,	5	5		
	te Pump Staten Unerades		300.00	5		25%	2026		5	150 000	\$ 50,000 \$	50.000	50 000	5 0	_	5		,
1	de St DD X-ros Aband	퓬	840 000			50%	2028 8	-	10.765	000005	S SKO DOG S							I
13	Abite Ob Mahbala Dabah	7/4/	000000			Job.	3 9000			2000	3 000 000							
10		2	350 000	,		July Commercial Commer	3,000				3 000 001					, ,		
1		3	4 248 00			1444	3027 €				2	1 24B nnn			,			
Ť	Dahah	900	200000000000000000000000000000000000000			4 KOL	2 140MG					A16 DOD						
1	- Company	1	104,000			200	2 4000					000,000						I
	Later and					Cont	9 0000					200	400.400	,				
710	and a construction	3	100,10			200	6 0707						200,000			,		I
12		5 6	200,000			100	a deve						2007 1000					
0	South Sharts & Ritte Press December	3 12	267.004			These	20202						2	PRI SKI VOT	2 70			1
10		٠	-			10%	2000							C 1 181 107	2 40		,	
1	Man White Designments	0 10	C 849 47	, .		10%	30202							2	, .	1 473		
15	Danna Way & Rachel Dr. Seven Reclacement	go	1754788		,	15%	2030 \$				5				5 175	1754 788 5	9	
a a	Redain Rehab	63	\$ 851.657	8		35%	2031 \$		\$		5				3		51.657 \$	
0	Coher Road Improvements	×	\$ 812.480	5		15%	2031 \$		\$		\$				*		12 490 \$	
10	Gibson & 1st Street Rehab	TA	\$ 385 412	5		15%	2031 \$		5					,	5	5	365.412 5	-
1	Meadows Road Rehats	di	\$ 442.862	-		960	2032		5		5				-		3	CAR CAL
-		W	\$ 048 989			15%	2032 \$								5			948 949
la.	sment	W	3 253.064	. 2		960	2032 \$		5	,	5							253 064
10	Greatway Market	da	\$ 253.064	5		15%	2032 3											253 064
T																		
J335 cems N	Yearly Warrantee TV & Flush (WO#612)		3	5		74.0	5 0		\$ 7775 \$		5	,		5	5	-		
Ĺ	saffy Service Leberal Reimb (WD#785)			5		%0	0 8		\$ 4,555 \$					*	s			
	Yearly Misc. System Repairs (WO#786)			5		%0	0 8	200	\$ 271,505 \$		1				\$			
	sarfy Grinder Pumps (WO#787)			2		15%	0 5		\$ 72.229 \$		5			•	5	3	9	
	Yearly Privately Funded Projects (WO#872)		2	5		%0	* 0	45.088	\$ 50,815 \$		2	×.			8	.,	•	
	Yearly Warrantee TV & Flush (WD#612)		2	.,			0	100		30,000	30,000	30,000	30,00				39,000 \$	30,000
	Yearly Service Lateral Reimb (WO#785)			-			0			30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000		30,000 \$	30,000	30.000
-13	early Misc. System Repairs (WCF/85)	-		-			0 0			300,000	300,000	300000	300.00				000000	300.000
1	early Grinder Pumps (WOF/6/)			,		1	0			000000	200000	00000	100.00			,	9 00000	00000
1	The state of the s	-		,		+				200	00000	2000	2000			,	2000	200
Q.	PY CIPP Jobs	,	1	5			5 0				\$ 200,000 \$	300.000	300,000	\$ 300,000	5	300,000 \$	300,000 \$	300.000
u)	Sub-Total						s	1,493,887	5 233,656 \$	7,055,000	\$ 2675,000 \$	2,618,000	5 2,364,240	3 \$ 2,234,202	υı	3,198,210 \$ 2,9	2,959,559 5	2,697,979
City Tru.	White City Trunk - Fund 1																	
S	Sub-Total						w	•	8 -		5 -	•	W	8	<i>L</i> 22	9	*	93.
_	Total Fund 1		\$ 20,681,619	_			•	1,493,887	\$ 2,233,656 \$	7,055,000	\$ 2,675,000 \$	2,618,000	\$ 2,364,240	5 2,234,202	s	3,198,210 \$ 2,9	2,959,559	2,697,979

Attachment 'A' Page 2 of 3 6/4/2025

2032		T.		1		**					*				1		lit				*				000 01	100 000	140,000				1			*		,				٠		,	,	×	*)				50.000	20,000
2011						*							. 3		9	\$ 000005	\$ 000'05				•				* 000000	100 000 \$	140,000 \$						-											*		0)			\$0,000 \$	\$ 000'09
2030	1															\$ 000'05	\$ 000'05				\$.					300.000	140,000 \$				-				3	3			,	**		7	5						50,000 3	\$ 000'03
2029	3					1,912,272 \$				ŧ	\$ 2/2,212,1					50,000 15	\$ 000'05		5 .		9			4		100.000	140,000 \$, ,			3	3	'n			150,000 \$		3		10)				. 3	50,000 \$	\$ 000'00Z
2028	3				424,360 5	**					424,360 S				1	\$0,000 \$	\$ 000'05					-		**		\$ 000000	140,000 \$								5		32,448 \$,622,400 \$		150,000 \$		3		2		200.000	432,540 3	. 3	50,000 \$	2,287,488 \$
2027	1			20,600 €	20.000 \$				100 000 \$		140,600 \$		*	5	3	50,000 5	\$ 000'09				\$	5	2000000		* 00000	100,000	449,000 \$							52,000 \$	130,000 \$	155,000 \$		3		150,000 \$		8						. 5	\$0.000 \$	\$ 000'865
Propused 2026	31 .		250 000 5	-		en.			100 000 \$		320,000 \$		400,000 \$	200,000 \$	\$	20,000 3	\$ 000'059		. 15	4	3	2 000 3	2000	*	* 000 07	100,000	150,000 s					C KAN CHO I	250 000 1							150,000 \$				9	150,000 5	300,000	2	\$	\$ 000005	2,400,000 \$
Projected 2025	1		-		\$	50		2	100.000		s 000'00L				200	\$ 000005	\$ 000'05			\$	450,000 \$	2 0000	* 000		. 000000	100 000	\$ 000'665		- 1	-	-	1 000 000	5		,				,	150,000 \$	1		10,000 \$. 3	20,000 1	1,210,000 \$
Actual 2024	3	14 0001			5			M 024 3			4 /7c'ant				20,690 \$	-	\$ 669'02				52.587 \$	2000	001	21,224 \$			74,681 \$			8.776	201.46	105 022	5			\$		*	A 1651 A			5	90,457 \$	8 000	-			17,738 \$		460,966 \$
2023	10.050 1.5	,			5			38 330 \$	\$		\$ 86C'JD		. 3			*	•		5157213	70.374 \$	57.357. \$	1 000	2013	26,880 \$			215,303 \$			١							5 (0)		,	\$ 60		28.038 \$		2,838 \$	15		,	V9	2	\$ 206,616,1
Year	2000	2074 S	2078. 4	2007.5	2028 \$	2029 \$		0.0	0 \$,	*		2026 3	2026 \$	\$ 0	5 10	w		2023 3	2023 \$	2025 \$	2028 3	core a	0.5		0 0				1023 3	2006	2000	2026 \$	2027 \$	2027 \$	2027 \$	202# \$	Z0Z8 \$	\$ 10	0 8		5	2024 \$ 1	3	2026 \$	7076 5	50707	0 8	0 8	# 960
Construction Year	Table 1	100	74		16	15%		10%	WO.																					-	2 2		*	2	160	ž.	1,00		*											
% Acded Capacity	100%				0	16							0.00																			1	1001	0	9	0	0.40	0	SON											
Actual cost %	\$ 1054 701	1					1	160,000	,						989'02 9				5 53.418			-			1					39,291	3,48,470							-	8.851			29 038		17,728				\$ 17,738		
Estimated Cost	-		250.000	20,600	424,360	1,912,272		-	ľ.		250,000		400,000	200,000			000'009				436,893		non-en-				436,893					1 Trin orn	250.000	\$2,000 \$	130.000	156,000	32,448	1,622,400					1,453,633		150.000	300,000	432.040			6,329,121
Area Estin	186 15	1 10	30	300	RE	RE \$	-	100	RE 15		*		WCS \$	WCS \$		•	77		TA IS	TA \$	14 s	× ×		e e								-						0	5	59		5	8		-	-	,		8	940
Project Name	Duro Puro Replacement	Ouns 95 Roof Inc	Kentster Rd MH Fushisher & Robats	Outon PS Hudman	Dunn Exterior System Improvements	27" Force Main CIPP		Yearly Flow Monthling Equipment	Yearly Flow Monitoring Equipment		lobi Fund /	White City Industrial Storm Drainage - Fund 9	Ave Fand 8th Storn & Sewer	Ave F & Agate Storm Rehab	Yearly Colvert & Storm Rehab	Yearly Culvert & Storm Rehab	Total Fund 9	Storm Water Quality - Fund 10	West Valley View Road	Taket SW Masterplan Final	Currents SWF	Glerradod SWF	THE CHARLESTAL	Yearly Private SWO Projects	Court Bearing Court of	Stamwater trouble Program	Total Fund 10	Treatment Capital - Fund 55	Shady Cove Treatment Plant	FY 2023 Misc Treatment Maintenance	ET ALLS PRING HOUSENERS MAINTINGS	SC LV Denderton	Peadworfs Upgrade	Jocky Pump for Utility Water / Hydrant	Plant Driveway Surface Replacement	Clarifier Gear Box Replacement	Rehab Asphalt (Patching & Seal Coat)	Steel Tank Linng	Yearly Miss. SC Treatering Maint	Yearly Miss SC Treatement Maint	Minist City I propose	FY23 Misc Labour Maintanance	Compost Project	Lapson Public Access & Wellands	Lagoon Solar Power	Lapon Gard Lock & Truck Scales	Data Burong	Yearly Misc Lapson Maintenance	Yearly Misc Lagoon Maintenance	Total Fund 55
Project # Proje	linot in the					010		NOTE COUNTY	500			White City Inc	2000	9000	D003 comp	2002		Storm Water	0000			6100		Q017 comp	T	xxxa		Treatment Ca		5000			5014		5000			2027	CD26 como	5000		5007				51013	100	1,010 comp	0101	

Attachment 'A' Page 3 of 3 6/4/2025

2032				E						2,887,979
2031			S	- 2		10		S	•	3,199,558 \$ 2,8
										3,199
2030			\$	*		***	100		(/21)	3,438,210 \$
2029								50.000 \$	\$ 000'09	4,586,474 \$
2028		107	2	1,300,000 \$			100000000000000000000000000000000000000	\$ 000005	1,350,000 \$	6,616,088 \$
2027				8,000,000,8		*		\$ 000.03	8,050,000 \$	
Proposed 2026				4,000,000 \$				\$ 000.00	4,050,000 \$	10,275,000 \$ 11,845,600 \$
Projected 2025			15,000 \$	1.500,000 1				\$ 000.00	1,565,000 \$	10,579,000 \$
Actual 2024			**	139,033	The second second	43,562 \$			381,585 \$	3,278,124 \$
2023		6.319 \$		4.171 \$					24,320 \$	3,104,816 \$
Construction Year	ĺ	2023 \$	2026 \$	2022 \$		0 3		\$ O	•	•
Capacity						2				
Actual cost % Added		6,319				43,562				
Area Estimated Cost			15,000	15.000.000 3					15,015,000	43,312,633
Area Est		5		•					•	49
		Inance				14		1		
Project Name	Gold Hill Treatment Capital - Fund 60 Gold Hill Treatment Plant	FY 2023 Mac Treatment Mainte	Side Electric Gate	GH Regional Connection		Yearly Misc GH Treatment Maint		Yearly Misc GH Treatment Maint	Total Fund 80	Total All Projects
Project#	Gold Hill Ti	1100	5014	GD12	(17)	GD13 comp		0013		

APPENDIX D

Cost Summary for Completed Projects

1	2	2 3	4	5	6	-
	RVS Collection System					
	The Composition System					
			Estimated Cost	Estimated Cost		
			(PV)	(FV)	Actual Cost	Fiscal Year
TOTAL BO	<u> </u>					
1972	Total Projects for Year				\$ 26,189	1972
1973	Total Projects for Year				\$ 39,817	1973
1974	Total Projects for Year				\$ -	1974
1975	Total Projects for Year				\$ 54,650	1975
1976 1977	Total Projects for Year				\$ 358	1976
1977	Total Projects for Year Total Projects for Year				\$ 107,615 \$ 281,833	1977 1978
1978	Total Projects for Year				\$ 200,735	1978
1979	Total Projects for Year				\$ 200,733	1980
1981	Total Projects for Year				\$ 224,378	1981
1982	Total Projects for Year				\$ 42,438	1982
1983	Total Projects for Year				\$ 8,555	1983
1984	Total Projects for Year				\$ 104,416	1984
1985	Total Projects for Year				\$ -	1985
1986	Total Projects for Year				\$ -	1986
1987	Total Projects for Year				\$ 112,738	1987
1988	Total Projects for Year				\$ 19,305	1988
1989	Total Projects for Year				\$ -	1989
1990	Total Projects for Year				\$ 190,272	1990
Contribution	ons to Private Projects, LID's, and Public Main Ext	tension	S			
42-01	White City Rehab	WC			\$ 97,663	1989
01-83	Talent Rehab	TA			\$ 28,414	1990
89-16	Whetstone Parkway	WS			\$ 2,108	1990
88-04	Bellinger-Minear	WM			\$ 119,593	1991
88-05	Hartley Road Extension	TA			\$ 2,700	1991
89-15	Camp Baker				\$ 79,000	1991
89-18	Old Stage South of View				\$ 2,790	1991
89-19	Hanley Beale	WM			\$ 3,579	1991
89-20	Coker Butte				\$ 15,097	1991
89-24	East Camp Baker	PX			\$ 33,462	1991
90-07	NW Industrial Park				\$ 9,840	1991
90-13	South Peach Street				\$ -	1991
91-02	Jasmine Avenue				\$ 47,440	1991
90-12	South Magnolia				\$ -	1992
90-15	Old Stage Road South of Ross				\$ 7,176	1992
90-16	Colver Road West of Talent	TA			\$ 6,600	1992
91-12	Hanley Road	Τ.			\$ 1,725	1992
04-93	Talent Rehab-Colver Road	TA			\$ 3,739	1993
89-12	Louis J Subdivision	TA			\$ 18,050	1993
90-04 91-04	Anjou Club Sweet Briar Estates	TA TA			\$ 1,846 \$ 3,000	1993 1993
91-04	West Ross Lane	IA			•	1993
93-01	Balteau Standard	WC			\$ 17,500 \$ 14,000	1993
01-93	Division Ajax Rehab	WC			\$ 84,983	1993
94-04	Judy Way	***			\$ 1,063	1994
02-94	Talent Rehab	TA			\$ 45,325	1995
03-94	Beebe Gebhard Rehab	CP			\$ 11,486	1995
89-04	Ross Lane ADDS	WM			\$ 2,177	1995
92-09	Eagle Mill Road LID	TA			\$ 15,000	1995
94-37	South Stage Industrial	17.			\$ 19,083	1995
6-4-96	29th StFalcon to G	WC			\$ 106,342	1996
01-94	White City Urban Renewal	WC			\$ 497,473	1997
01-96	Talent Rehab Phase II	TA			\$ 65,865	1997

			Estimated Cost (PV)	Estimated Cost (FV)	Actual Cost	Fiscal Year
TOTAL D	OVC A					
TOTAL B		WC			¢ 100.227	1007
08-96	White City Rehab Eagle Point Manhole Rehab	EP			\$ 100,327 \$ 11,092	1997 1997
13-96	Eagle Point Marriole Renab	EP			\$ 20,065	1997
92-07	Valley View Road	TA				1997
92-07 95-04	Anderson Vista	TA			\$ 76,684 \$ 7,276	1997
95-04	Old Stage/Wells Fargo	WM			\$ 7,276	1997
95-05	Canterbury Estates	NM			\$ -	1997
95-25 97-01	East Old Military Road LID	CP			\$ 16,000	1997
97-01	Hartley Road LID	TA			\$ 16,000	1997
97-07 2-96	Talent Rehab Phase III	TA			\$ 523,952	1997
2-96 06-96	White City Rehab	WC			\$ 1,074,154	1998
09-96	·	CP				
	Central Point Rehab				\$ 1,550,673	1998
05-98	BOC Gasses	WC CP			\$ 22,566	1998
07-98	Central Point REhab				\$ 41,543	1998
14-96 95-02	Talent Rehab Phase 4 (no. end of 2nd, 99 S of	TA NM			\$ 249,085	1998 1998
	Foreign Trade Zone LID	INIVI			\$ 15,783	
97-02	Old Military LID	- •			\$ 52,785	1998
97-12	Rising Sun LID	TA			\$ 16,736	1998
11-98	Avenue H Re-alignment	WC			\$ 77,482	1999
01-99	Linn Road Reconstruction	EP			\$ 19,200	1999
13-98	27th from Ave C to Antelope Road	WC			\$ 36,814	1999
97-38	East Pine/Hamrick LID	СР			\$ 39,432	1999
98-11	North Valley Estates	CP			\$ 13,566	1999
98-18	Central Point East Phase 1,2,5	CP			\$ 17,409	1999
98-23	Walnut Grove MH Park	CP			\$ 33,695	1999
98-33	New Haven Estates	CP			\$ 9,196	1999
07-96	Royal Avenue Rehabilitation*	EP			\$ 1,249,431	2000
08-99	West Vilas Road	CP			\$ 147,030	2000
09-99	Kirtland Road Crossing	WC			\$ 36,392	2000
14-98	South Stage Manholes	SM			\$ 19,292	2000
84-00	Main Street-Wagner Creek Road	TA			\$ 182,605	2000
85-00	Stowe/Rossanley	WM			\$ 58,923	2000
86-00	Ione Street Rehabilitation	EP			\$ 29,849	2000
89-00	Front Street Re-alignment, Fairview Ave	TA			\$ 18,878	2000
98-37	Ross Lane LID	WM			\$ 11,641	2000
99-11	Canal & Archer LID	SM			\$ 7,357	2000
00-01	Garfield Extension	SM			\$ 256,754	2001
80-01	Erickson Repair	WS			\$ 17,118	2001
81-01	5th Street	CP			\$ 11,533	2001
82-01	Alliance Trucking	NM			\$ 7,302	2001
84-01	7th Street Rehab	WC			\$ 73,903	2001
85-01	South Shasta Avenue	EP			\$ 5,841	2001
86-01	Alley From Talent Ave to Roy	TA			\$ 35,194	2001
87-00	West Gregory - Elmhurst PS	WS			\$ 29,197	2001
88-00	West Pine Street, Ph. 1	CP			\$ 107,210	2001
91-00	Gibson Road	TA			\$ 48,117	2001
92-00	Fargo Buchannon	EP			\$ 81,886	2001
01-91	Ave F and 9th Slipline	WC			\$ 113,007	2002
01-92	Avenue F Slip Line	WC			\$ 91,889	2002
01-93	Ave H Slipline	WC			\$ 77,220	2002
01-95	Dixie Pump Station	SM			\$ 53,980	2002
01-96	9th and Manzanita	CP			\$ 7,705	2002
10-98	North Siphon	EP			\$ 27,978	2002
98-01	New Street	TA			\$ 13,698	2002
J003	Crater Lane	СР			\$ 13,299	2002
J009	Teakwood Avenue	EP			\$ 276,813	2002

			Estimated Cost (PV)	Estimated Cost (FV)	Actual Cost	Fiscal Year
TOTAL B	CVSA					
J011	Avenue A Rehab	WC			\$ 44,857	2002
J013	Avenue H	WC			\$ 101,505	2002
90-00	Main Street	EP			\$ 256,759	2002
J015	Front Street Re-alignment	TA			\$ 196,548	2003
J016	Coker Butte Extension	NM			\$ 135,982	2003
J022	Neva Street	EP			\$ 9,600	2003
J023	I Street Alley	TA			\$ 11,455	2003
J024	Bigham Road	CP			\$ 135,675	2003
J028	Oak Street Laterals	CP			\$ 21,809	2003
J029	Buchannon St Laterals	EP			\$ 16,500	2003
02-35	Cowdry Lane LID	TA			\$ -	2004
J001	Wagner Street, I Street to Talent Elementary	TA			\$ 142,881	2004
J010	Daisy Creek Restoration	WM			\$ 9,446	2004
J014	Gladstone Re-hab	WC			\$ 197,827	2004
J021	Ross Lane PS Rehab	WM		\$ -	\$ 32,548	2004
J030	Atlantic Avenue Re-alignment	WC		Ψ -	\$ 96,558	2004
J031	N Ashland Pump Station Rehab	TA		\$ -	\$ 11,820	2004
J032	Crater Lake Pump Station	NM		\$ -	\$ 72,383	2004
J034	Jacksonville Rehab	JV		Ψ -	\$ 345,499	2004
J037	Tulane PS Removal	CP			\$ 22,579	2004
J041	4th & California	JV			\$ 5,481	2004
01-94	Elmhurst Pump Station Removal	WS		\$ -	\$ 247,257	2005
J006	Midway Pump Station	NM		Ψ -	\$ 44,033	2005
J035	North Shasta Avenue	EP			\$ 757,542	2005
J040	West Gregory PS Rehab	WS			\$ 43,953	2005
J043	South Pacific Highway, Wagner Creek to Arnos				\$ 336,831	2005
J049	Glenn Way	CP			\$ 244,874	2005
J056	Sharon Street Sewer Replacement	PX			\$ 31,804.00	2005
05-53	Belknap Extension	SM			\$ 363,111.00	2006
J039	Hwy 99, Valley View - New	TA			\$ 192,122	2006
J042	Avenue G - Residential Side	WC			\$ 509,675	2006
J045	Wilson Road Pump Station	WC			\$ 62,470	2006
J055	I Street	TA			\$ 143,118	2006
J058	Souh Medford Trunk Reinforcement	SM			\$ 136,326.00	2006
J059	South Shasta Avenue, Phase 1	EP			\$ 50,536.00	2006
J060	Bain Street	TA			\$ 108,177.00	2006
J064	9th Street	CP			\$ 17,709.00	2006
J073	Creel Road	TA			\$ 16,279.00	2006
J074	Oak Street	PX			\$ 96,733.93	2006
06-46	Home Depot Up-Size Reimbursement	PX			\$ 105,395.00	2007
07-07	Sienna Hills Up-Size Reimbursement	EP			\$ 4,384.14	2007
07-26	Creekside Center Reimbursement (J076)	EP			\$ 19,050.00	2007
J002	Terr-Mont Street	WC			\$ 148,424	2007
J008	Gilman Pump Station	NM			\$ 57,249	2007
J061	Fern Valley Pump Station	PX			\$ 89,579.49	2007
J062	Linn - Buchannon	EP			\$ 440,400.17	2007
J065	West Pine Street, Ph. 2	CP			\$ 394,108.58	2007
J066	Falcon Street	WC			\$ 633,111.62	2007
02-39	Echoes Pump Station Reimbursement	EP			\$ -	2008
03-08	Twin Creeks Up-Size Reimbursement	CP			\$ 1,379.02	2008
J027	Central Point Pump Station	CP			491,913.00	
J069	South Pacific Highway, Arnos to Creel	TA			\$ 422,433.92	2008
J070	2007 CIPP Projects	All			\$ 527,309.01	2008
J071	Avenue C, Bellaire to Harlan	WC			\$ 254,370.78	2008
J088	Foss Road	TA			\$ 116,675.39	2008
J089	North Ashland PS Rehab#2	TA			\$ 72,425.74	2008

			Estimated Cost	Estimated Cost		
			(PV)	(FV)	Actual Cost	Fiscal Year
TOTAL BOX	(O.A.					
TOTAL BC\		10/04			ф 00 44 7 00	2008
J107	Vashti Pump Station	WM			\$ 96,417.90	
J108 J113	Agate Pump Station Pine Street Manhole	WS CP			\$ 103,699.51	2008 2008
J113 J121		JV			\$ 23,447.64	2008
J096	G Street, Jacksonville	JV			\$ 59,545.95	2008
J104	Jacksonville Extension 15" relief**	JV			\$ 774,153.00	2009
J104 J109	2008 CIPP Projects North Ashland PS Rehab#1	ΤΛ			\$ 509,568.17	2009
J109 J117		TA			\$ 97,053.00	2009
J117 J122	Cathodic Protection	CD			\$ 41,387.86	2009
J122 J126	Central Point Manholes	CP NM			\$ 126,485.00	2009
J126 J128	Airport PS Rehab 2009 CIPP Projects	All			\$ 103,142.25	2009
J128 J130		CP			\$ 414,244.00	
	Vincent Street Sewer				\$ 113,545.80	2009
J133	Anodex Rehabilitation	NM C P			¢ 40.700.00	2009
J141 J097	RV Dump Site	C P CP			\$ 13,793.00 \$ 105,115.78	2009
J097 J115	Bush Street Services	MD				2010
J115 J127	Airport Terminal Reimbursement Justice PS Rehab	WS		•	\$ 51,661.00 \$ 105,146.93	2010 2010
				\$ -		
J136 J147	King's Highway Re-alignment	SM		\$ -	\$ 179,948.00	2010
J147 J080	C Street Rehab	JV			\$ 41,004.00	2010
	Ave C 4th to 6th Street	WC			\$ 187,053.11	2011 2011
J081 J082	13th Street Rehab	WC WC			\$ 154,155.00	2011
J082 J083	11th st ave F to RR	WC			\$ 131,411.00	2011
					\$ 245,868.39	
J086 J091	5th Street	PX			\$ 381,314.00	2011
J091 J093	Talent Avenue	TA CP		φ.	\$ 317,333.73	2011
J131	10th Street, Hazel to Cherry 9th Street Rehab	CP		\$ -	\$ 131,854.00 \$ 205,874.00	2011 2011
J146	ODOT MH's	CP			\$ 65,990.00	2011
J146 J154	Central Point MH Adjustment	CP			\$ 96,691.00	2011
J154 J155	W main MH	WM				-
11-08	Griffin Crk LID Reimbursement	MD			\$ 5,592.00 \$ -	2011 2012
J057	Freeman Road Sewer	CP			\$ 241,101.00	2012
J100	4th-6th Street Rehab	WC			\$ 495,806.00	2012
J152	Ross Lane	WM			\$ 12,936.00	2012
J181	Garfield St Rehab	MD			\$ 648,657.00	2012
J153	2011 CIPP Project	JV			\$ 367,668.00	2012
J156	Bolz RD Rehab	PX			\$ 254,602.00	2013
J187	Medford Garfield St Improvements	MD			\$ 5,854.00	2013
J203	N. 2nd Street Sewer Ext	CP			\$ 27,812.86	2013
J203 J159	Oak and 7th St Rehab	CP			\$ 162,673.00	2013
J180	Home Depot Realignment	PX			\$ 78,413.00	2014
J183	Fern Valley RD Pipe Arch	PX			\$ 78,413.00	2014
J189	South Stage Rd	MD			\$ 333,991.05	2014
J209	Front Street Realignment	CP			\$ 3,562.00	2014
J209 J211	Meadows PS Rehab	CP			\$ 3,562.00	2014
J211 J213	Main Street Services	JV			\$ 20,277.00	2014
J217	Sage Road MH Adjustments	MD			\$ 2,375.00	2014
J217 J221	Crown and Princess Improvements	CP			\$ 4,056.00	2014
J090	Phoenix Trunk Main-	PX			\$ 116,838	2014
J161	Truax Pump Station	WS			\$ 74,974	2015
J191	Barton RD PS Abandonment	EP			\$ 43,265	2015
J195	E Gregory Realignment	CP			\$ 40,905	2015
J208	N. 5th Sewer Ext	CP			\$ 122,316	2015
J222	Tracy Avenue Sewer Replacement	EP			\$ 827,346	2015
J226	Hazel and 10th Street Rehab	CP	\$ 370,000	#REF!	\$ 213,073	2015
JZZU	Brandon Street Rehab	CP		 	\$ 21,787	2015

			Es	stimated Cost (PV)	Est	timated Cost (FV)	A	ctual Cost	Fiscal Year
				· /		,			
TOTAL BC	VSA								
J233	Freeman Road City Street Improvements	CP	\$	15,000		#REF!	\$	12,595	2015
J236	Coral and Locke	PX	\$	366,000		#REF!	\$	334,010	2015
J237	Bush and Freeman Rd Sewer Ext	CP					\$	78,948	2015
J245	Misc. Serviice Lateral Replacement			100.000	_	100.000	\$	1,958	2015
16-02	Viewcrest Road Sewer	EP	\$	130,000	\$	130,000	\$	11,785	2016
J098	N. First Street	CP	\$	185,921	\$	191,499	\$	184,458	2016
J138	Peach st	MD	\$	23,622	\$	17,577	\$	24,144	2016
J182 J215	Hwy 62 Bypass Phase 1	CP CP	\$	170,000		#REF!	\$	225,034	2016
J215 J259	Table Rock MH Adjustments Hazel Street Rehab Phase 2	CP	\$	60,000		#REF!	\$	35,325 64,919	2016 2016
J261		SM					_		
J260	Garfield Street Overlay Buchannon Street Expansion	EP	\$	20,000			\$	15,300 30,681	2016 2017
J262	•	WS	\$,			\$		2017
	ODOT Hwy 62 bypass - Phase 2	WS	Ф	100,000			<u> </u>	137,565	
J265 J227	FY17 Service Lateral Replacement Pioneer PS Controls	MD	\$	20,000	\$	16,262	\$	13,438 28,065	2017 2019
J243	N Central Valley Rd	CP	φ	20,000	Ψ	10,202	\$	432,301	2019
J266	FY17 CIPP Projects	OF .					\$	161,991	2018
J270	·	WS					_		2018
J270 J273	ODOT Hwy 62 bypass - Phase 2 - not reimburse						\$	94,790	
	Hwy 99 Twin Creeks Crossing	CP					\$	1,170	2018
J282	FY18 Service Lateral Replacement		Φ.	10.000			\$	8,926	2018
J287 17-01	2018 Misc Overlay Projects Table Rock Road Sewer Extension	MD	\$	10,000 500,000			\$		2018 2019
J094	Sara Lane Extension	EP	Ф	500,000				- 159,755.35	2019
J232	Lozier Lane Street Improvments	MD					\$	173,788	2019
J240	Rostell St Extension	CP	\$	73,697	\$	59,923	\$	66,367	2019
J272	OR 99 Rapp to North Main	TA	\$	50.000	Ψ	00,020	\$	83,936	2019
J274	Wilson Way PS Removal		T				\$	1,394,292	2019
J275	Breckenridge Drive	PX					\$	87,683	2019
J277	Hartley Road Grinder Pumps	TA					\$	65,287	2019
J278	FY 18 CIPP Jobs	1/1					\$	353,546	2019
J288	2019 Misc Overlay Projects		\$	15,000			Ф	353,546	2019
J289	CP E Pine Street Imps	CP	\$	125,000			\$	117,550	2019
J290	C Street. Jacksonville	JV	Ť	0,000			\$	245,594	2019
J293	FY 2019 Service Lateral Reimbursements		\$	20,000			\$	24,163	2019
J299	FY 2019 CIPP Projects		\$	500,000			\$	482,996	2019
J301	FTZ Smart Run	MD	\$	30,000			\$	24,872	2019
J302	FY 2019 Misc System Repairs		\$	50,000			\$	77,305	2019
J305	Holton Creek Homes Sewer	PX	\$	50,000			\$	49,918	2019
J164	Onyx Rehab South Platt Rehab	EP EP	\$	185,000			\$	256,981	2020
J244 J276	Magnolia Ave 8" Gravity	WM	\$	406,000 410,160			\$	242,924 462,516	2020 2020
J281	West Gregory PS Rehab	WS	\$	175,000			\$	160,140	2020
J292	N Church Street Sewer Replacement	PX	\$	250,000			\$	118,889	2020
J296	Northridge Terrace Sewer Realignment	PX	\$	212,000			\$	316,161	2020
J297	Stevens Road Improvements	EP	\$	30,000			\$	29,850	2020
J304	FY 2020 Misc System Repairs		\$	75,000			\$	268,325	2020
J314	Scenic Fire Station Sewer	CP	\$	10,000			\$	3,300	2020
J315	Shasta Sewer	EP	\$	25,000			\$	21,653	2020
J317	FY 2021 Misc System Repairs		\$	100,000			\$	168,376	2021
J255	Woodbury STEG Tank						\$	618	2019
J268	Pump Station Telemetry						\$	27,697	2022
J251	Schoolhouse Lane	SC					\$	-	2017
J256	OR-62, Rogue River - Cleveland	SC					\$	-	
J312	FY 2020 CIPP Projects						\$	331,653	2021
J188	6th Street	PX					\$	54,864	2021
J303	Sowell Dr Realignment	SC					\$	67,498	2021

			Estimated Cost	Estimated Cost		
			(PV)	(FV)	Actual Cost	Fiscal Year
TOTAL BO	CVSA					
J330	Rapp Road Extension	TA			\$ 113,912	2021
J327	Gangnes Rehab	TA	\$ 426,000		\$ 251,205	2021
J320	Lava Sewer EP	EP	\$ 50,000		\$ 54,557	2021
J323	FY 2021 Misc Grinder Pumps		\$ 75,000		\$ 124,182	2021
J324	4th Street Sewer Laterals	JV	\$ 85,000		\$ 74,658	2021
J329	Hwy 99 Phoenix	PX	\$ 20,000		\$ 7,700	2021
J339	Pioneer PS Valve Vault	MD	\$ 30,000		\$ 20,155	2021
J325	Arborwood Pump Station Rebuild	PX	\$ 210,000		\$ 193,571	2022
J331	Oak Valley Reimb Dist	TA	\$ 785,000		\$ 316,769	2022
J337	Shafer Lane Rehab	MD	\$ 65,000		\$ 61,496	2022
J340	Talent Mobile Estates	TA	\$ 100,000		\$ 89,801	2022
J279	Cherry 8th Sewer Replacement	CP	\$ 75,000		\$ 127,488	2022
J321	FY 2021 Service Lateral Replacement				\$ 7,765	2021
J326	Service Abandonment - Fire Damage		\$ 750,000		\$ 757,817	2021

			Es	timated Cost	Estimated Cost		
				(PV)	(FV)	Actual Cost	Fiscal Yea
				, ,	, ,		
TOTAL E	BCVSA						
J124	West Glenwood PS Rehab	TA	\$	75,000		\$ 48,013	2023
J178	PS#4 Abandonment	SC	\$	264,000		\$ 484,948	2023
J291	ODOT OR140 Exit 35 to Blackwell	WC	\$	300,000		\$ 94,982	2023
J328	ODOT OR140 Bear Cr to 5th St	WC	\$	20,000		\$ 9,706	2022
J332	CP Force Main Rehab	CP	\$	300,000		\$ 328,939	2022
J333	FY22 Service Lat Replacement		\$	30,000		\$ 12,407	2022
J334	FY22 Misc System Repairs		\$	300,000		\$ 296,998	2022
J335	FY22 Misc Grinder Pumps		\$	150,000		\$ 19,427	2022
J176	PS #6 Rehab	SC	\$	50,000		\$ 45,895	2023
J343	Vilas Siphon Abandonment	JC	\$	600,000		\$ 589,368	2024
J344	Ashland PS #2 Force Main	TA	\$	200,000		\$ 465,602	2024
J346	2nd Street Sewer	PX	\$	100,000		\$ 91,693	2023
J347	FY 2023 Service Lat Replacement		\$	30,000		\$ 3,167	2023
J348	FY 2023 Miscellaneous System Repairs		\$	250,000		\$ 342,912	2023
J349	FY 2023 Misc Grinder Pumps		\$	100,000		\$ 97,205	2023
J350	FY 2023 Miscellaneous CIPP Jobs		\$	675,000		\$ 596,049	2024
J355	SC PS#2 Rehab	SC	\$	300,000		\$ 369,864	2025
J359	FY 2024 CIPP Proj		\$	525,000		\$ 437,895	2025
J368	Table Rock Road Emergency Repair	JC	\$	225,000		\$ 292,907	2025
J365	EP PS ARV Replacement	EP	\$	25,000		\$ 20,047	2025
	Shady Cove Pre-Annexation					\$ 2,746,378	2019
	Cost of Completed Improvements (Core)		\$	45,515,465			
	Cost of Completed Improvements (Interceptor)		\$	10,980,017			
	Cost of Completed Improvements (WC Trunk)		\$	1,968,200			
	Total Cost of Completed Improvements		\$	58,463,682			

APPENDIX E

Equivalent Residential Unit Calculations

Equivalent Reside	ential Unit	Calculatio	n			
•						Total RVSS
	RVSS	Jacksonville	Eagle Point	Shady Cove	Gold Hill	Collection
FY05	26,776	1,338	2,861			33,950
FY06	28,147	1,349	3,171			36,395
FY07	29,417	1,368	3,347			36,265
FY08	28,285	1,377	3,284			36,130
FY09	26,701	1,428	3,349			34,786
FY10	28,766	1,386	3,405			34,729
FY11	28,193	1,389	3,432			34,722
FY12	28,161	1,413	3,497			34,741
FY13	28,784	1,447	3,615			34,338
FY14	29,999	1,431	3,575			33,632
FY15	30,272	1,474	3,669			35,415
FY16	30,705	1,484	3,699			35,888
FY17	31,689	1,507	3,835			37,032
FY18	31,218	1,519	3,944			36,681
FY19	31,813	1,529	4,002			37,344
FY20	31,345	1,509	3,981	1,625		38,460
FY21	30,213	1,583	4,194	1,571		37,561
FY22	30,580	1,580	4,207	1,571		37,938
FY23	30,850	1,595	4,237	1,577		38,260
FY24	31,686	1,599	4,108	1,507	561	39,462
FY25	34,615	1,648	4,147	1,561	580	42,552
5 year average	30,935	1,573	4,145			39,155
5 year average 12 Month Avg	34,615	1,648	4,145	1,561	580	42,552
12 IVIOIIIII AVG	34,015	1,040	4,147	1,361	360	42,002
ERU for Collection System		42,552				